

Select type of application	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unpaved (Dirt and Gravel)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Paved (Low Volume Road)

Montour County Dirt, Gravel, and Low-Volume Road Grant Application Ranking

SECTION 1: APPLICATION VALIDATION

circle choice

- | | | | |
|--|-----|----|----------------------------|
| Does this road site negatively impact a stream, lake, wetland, or other water body? | YES | NO | |
| Will the proposed project reduce environmental impacts to a water body? | YES | NO | |
| Is someone from the applying entity "ESM Certified" within the past 5 years? | YES | NO | |
| Does the proposed application meet all SCC requirements (non-pollution, pipe size, etc.) | YES | NO | |
| Does the proposed application meet all policies adopted by the local County QAB? | YES | NO | |
| Has the applicant identified and agreed to obtain all necessary permits? | YES | NO | |
| <u>LVR ONLY:</u> If the traffic count is known at this point, is it 500 vehicles per day or less? | YES | NO | <small>unavailable</small> |

(note traffic count is required before contract is signed)

If any of the questions above are answered "NO", the application is currently not eligible for funding.

SECTION 2: APPLICATION RANKING

SEVERITY OF PROBLEM

1. **"Modified" Worksite Assessment:**
 - a. **Road Drainage to Stream:** none-0 Slight-5 Moderate-10 Severe-15 _____ (15)
 - b. **Wet Site Conditions:**
 - Dry-0 Saturated Ditches-3 Roadside Springs-5 _____ (10)
 - Flow in Ditches-7 Saturated Base-10
 - c. **Road Surface Condition** _____ (15)
 - i. **LVR EVALUATION: Pavement Condition:** good-0 fair, some cracking-5
Poor, cracking, unevenness-7 Damaged-10 Severely Damaged-15
 - ii. **D&G EVALUATION:** Hard Gravel-0 Mixed Stone-5 Soft Stone-7
Mixed stone/dirt/dust-10 Severe Dust-15
 - d. **Road Slope:** <5%-0 5-10%-5 >10%-10 _____ (10)
 - e. **Road Shape (cross-slope/crown):** Good-0 Fair-3 Poor-5 _____ (5)
 - f. **Slope to Stream:** <30%-0 30-60%-3 >60%-5 _____ (5)
 - g. **Distance to Stream:** >100'-0 50'-100'-10 <50'/crossing-20 _____ (20)
 - h. **Outlets to Stream:** None-0 Near Stream-3 Directly to Stream-5 _____ (5)
 - i. **Outlet/Bleeder Stability:** Stable-0 Moderate-3 Unstable-5 _____ (5)
 - j. **Road Ditch Stability:** Stable-0 Fair-3 Poor-7 Unstable-10 _____ (10)
 - k. **Road Bank Stability:** Stable-0 Fair-3 Poor-7 Unstable-10 _____ (10)
 - l. **Off-ROW Impacts resolved:** None-0 Minimal-3 Some-7 Many-10 _____ (10)

2. **Classification of stream or waterbody impacted:** _____ (40)
 - Warmwater Fishery-10 Coldwater Fishery-20
 - Trout Stocking Stream-30 HQ/EV/drinking water-40

A) Severity of Problem Subtotal:	_____ (160)
---	--------------------

EFFECTIVENESS OF SOLUTION

- 3. Degree to which project remediates impact to waterbody:
Slightly-0 Moderately-10 Highly-30 Almost completely- 50 _____ (50)

- 4. Degree to which project improves road:
Slightly-0 Moderately-5 Highly-10 Extremely high- 15 _____ (15)

- 5. Cost effectiveness: How much “environmental benefit per dollar” (benefit per cost)?
Low ben/\$-0 Moderate ben/\$-10 High ben/\$-30 Very high ben/\$-50 _____ (50)

B) Effectiveness of Solution Subtotal: _____ (115)

OTHER FACTORS

- 6. In-Kind Contributions from Applicant: _____ (15)
1to 10%-5 10-25%-10 Over 25%-15

- 7. Did applicant contact CD about this specific project before submitting application: _____ (15)
No-0 Discussed site details with CD-10 Met w/CD on site-15

- 8. Is applicant maintaining recently funded Program projects properly: _____ (15)
No-0 Yes (or first project)-15

- 9. Method of stabilizing stream bank: _____ (15)
Rip Rap-0 Fish Habitat Structures (ex. Mud Sill, Deflector, Cross Vane, Etc.)-15

C) Other Factors: _____ (60)

Points Summary:

- A) Severity of Problem: _____ (160 possible points)
- B) Effectiveness of Solution: _____ (115 possible points)
- C) Other Factors: _____ (60 possible points)
- TOTAL SCORE:** (335 possible points)